

Investment Policy Statement

Prepared on:

March 22, 2022

Prepared for:

WHITING DOOR MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



Purpose

The purpose of this Investment Policy Statement (IPS) is to assist the Investment Committee (Committee) in effectively supervising, monitoring and evaluating the management of the WHITING DOOR MANUFACTURING CORPORATION 401(k) Retirement Plan (Plan). The Committee has the authority to oversee the investment of the Plan's assets. The Committee will discharge its responsibilities under the Plan solely in the interests of Plan participants and their beneficiaries.

The plan's investment program is defined in the various sections of this IPS by:

1. Stating in a written document the Committee's objectives and guidelines for the investment of all of the plan's assets.
2. Encouraging effective communications between the Committee and all parties involved with the investment management decisions.
3. Establishing formal criteria to select, monitor, evaluate and compare the performance results achieved by each investment option on a regular basis.
4. Establishing the number and characteristics of offered investment options.

Statement of Objectives

The Plan is a defined contribution plan. The purpose of the plan is to encourage employees to set aside funds for retirement by providing eligible employees with a convenient way to save on a regular, long-term and tax-favorable basis.

This IPS has been arrived at upon consideration by the Committee and describes the prudent investment process the Committee deems appropriate. This process includes offering various asset classes and investment management styles that, in total, are expected to offer participants the opportunity to diversify their investments in a manner appropriate to their retirement objectives and risk/return requirements.

[The Plan is intended to satisfy the criteria of ERISA Section 404(c), which provides the Committee and other Plan fiduciaries with relief from liability with respect to participant-directed investments.]

Duties and Responsibilities

Investment Committee

As fiduciaries under the plan, the primary responsibilities of the Committee are:

1. Prepare and maintain this IPS.
2. Prudently diversify and select the Plan's investment options consistent with this IPS.
3. Monitor and account for all investment, record keeping and administrative expenses associated with the plan.
4. Monitor and supervise all service vendors and investment options.
5. Avoid prohibited transactions and conflicts of interest.

Investment Advisor

The WHITING DOOR MANUFACTURING CORPORATION Committee may, in its discretion, engage an Investment Advisor (Advisor). The Advisor serves as an objective, third-party professional retained to assist the Committee in managing the overall investment process. The Advisor is responsible for guiding the Committee through a disciplined and rigorous investment process to enable the Committee to meet the fiduciary responsibilities outlined in this IPS.

Investment Education

The Committee may obtain service providers to periodically present education seminars to the Committee and/or to the Plan's participants.

Asset Class Guidelines

The Committee believes long-term investment performance, in large part, is primarily a function of asset class mix. The Committee has reviewed the long-term performance characteristics of the broad asset classes, focusing on balancing the risks and rewards. The Committee recognizes that interest-generating investments, such as bonds, have the advantage of relative stability of principal value, they provide little opportunity for real long-term capital growth due to their susceptibility to inflation.

On the other hand, the Committee also recognizes equity investments, such as common stocks, clearly have a significantly higher expected return but have the disadvantage of much greater year-by-year variability of return. From an investment decision-making point of view, this year-by-year variability may be worth accepting provided the participant's time horizon is sufficiently long (five years or greater).

Investment Option Selection

Each investment option should be managed by: (i) a bank; (ii) an insurance company; (iii) a registered investment company (mutual fund); or (iv) a registered investment adviser. The Committee will apply the following due diligence criteria in selecting each investment option:

Retirement Plan Advisory Group (RPAG)

RPAG Score

Passing = A score of 7 or higher

Watch List – A score of 6 or lower

Action Needed = A score of 6 or lower for 4 consecutive quarters or 5 out of the previous 8 quarters

Removed from Watch List = A score of 7 or higher for 4 consecutive quarters

Please reference the RPAG Scorecard Methodology in appendix A for additional details

Default Investment Option Selection

The Committee will select a default investment option to allocate contributions when a participant fails to make an investment selection, including when a participant is or may be automatically enrolled in the Plan. When choosing a default investment option, the Committee will comply with ERISA's "prudent investor rule", ERISA Section 404(c), and the qualified default investment alternative guidelines published by the US Department of Labor.

Monitoring - Performance Objectives

The Committee is aware the ongoing review and analysis of the investment options is just as important as the initial due diligence process. The decision to retain or terminate an investment option cannot be made by a formula.

The Committee acknowledges fluctuating rates of return characterize the securities markets, particularly during short-term time periods. Accordingly, the Committee intends to evaluate investment performance from a long-term perspective. The performance of the investment options will be monitored on an ongoing and regular basis using the same initial due diligence criteria set forth above. In addition, the Committee will review:

1. Whether the investment option meets the Watch List Criteria as described below;
2. Material changes in the investment option's organization, investment philosophy and/or personnel;
3. Any legal, SEC and/or other regulatory agency proceedings affecting the investment option's organization; and
4. Changes to the investment option's fee structure or fee arrangement as described below.

Monitoring - Watch List Criteria

An investment option may be placed on a Watch List and a thorough review and analysis of the investment option may be conducted, when:

Retirement Plan Advisory Group (RPAG)

RPAG Score

Watch List – A score of 6 or lower

Please reference the RPAG Scorecard Methodology in appendix A for additional details

Monitoring - Measuring Fees

The Committee will review at least annually the fees and expense ratios for the Plan's investment options.

Investment Option Removal

Action Needed = A score of 6 or lower for 4 consecutive quarters or 5 out of the previous 8 quarters

The Committee may remove an investment option at its sole discretion when it believes such removal is in the best interests of the Plan and its participants after taking into account the results from the monitoring process discussed in this IPS. If the Committee determines that an investment option will be removed, the Committee may direct the Plan's recordkeeper to:

1. Remove the investment option, and map balances and contributions to a replacement fund that is added to the Plan;
2. Remove the investment option, and map balances and contributions to another investment option in the Plan; or
3. Close the investment option to new contributions/investments.

Coordination with Plan Document

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any term or condition of this IPS conflicts with any term or condition in the Plan, the terms and conditions of the Plan shall control.

Investment Policy Review

The Committee will review this IPS at least annually to determine whether stated investment objectives are still relevant and the continued feasibility of achieving the same. It is not expected that the IPS will change frequently. In particular, short-term changes in the financial markets should not require adjustments to the IPS.

Prepared by

Lawley Retirement Advisors
361 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, NY 14202

Signature

Date

Investment Committee Representative

Signature

Date

Investment Committee Representative

Signature

Date

Appendix A: RPAG Scorecard Methodology

For supported asset classes a “score card” will be maintained and documented (see addendum) to substantiate acceptable levels of performance and appropriate style characteristics. Based on objective criteria, derived from modern portfolio theory concepts, each investment option will receive a score reflecting its overall performance.

If an investment fails to feed the criteria standards, as determined by its score, it may be placed on a “watch list.” If the investment maintains a watch list score for four consecutive quarters or five out of eight quarters, the investment may be considered for further action by the Committee. In the event an investment receives a score which is below that of “watch list” status, or experiences extraordinary circumstances which may render it imprudent to maintain, it may be considered for action by the Committee at the earliest administratively practicable date.

If the investment meets criteria standards, as determined by its score as “acceptable” or “good” for four consecutive quarters, it may be removed from the watch list.

Cash, or principal preservation, alternatives should be reviewed with a primary focus on the investment's ability to preserve capital and minimize risk. Criteria reviewed should include, but not be limited to, credit quality, diversification and stability of insurance power, if applicable.

Asset allocation investment should be scored and monitored in the same manner as all other investments, using the previously described guidelines. Because many of these investments contain separate underlying investment funds, it may also be appropriate to score and monitor those, as available and applicable. Unlike other investments which are monitored and scored individually, target-date investments, though potentially scored individually, should be evaluated as a group. Due to the unique importance of these investment options for participants in the Plan, investment or suites of investments (as may be applicable), or managed accounts failing to achieve criteria standards should be carefully reviewed before removal from the Plan (in the absence of a reasonable alternative).

In the event asset allocation investments have too brief a time history to be scored, the investment or suite should be evaluated both qualitatively and quantitatively on the underlying investments that may have a longer time history available, using a proxy, or a qualitative framework for all other instances.

Investments where no score is applied is due to specialty focus, short time history, or other unique circumstances should be reviewed using a proxy, where applicable and prudent, or a qualitative framework for all other instances.

Scorecard System Methodology™

The **Scorecard System Methodology** incorporates both quantitative and qualitative factors in evaluating fund managers and their investment strategies. The **Scorecard System** is built around pass/fail criteria, on a scale of 0 to 10 (with 10 being the best) and has the ability to measure active, passive and asset allocation investing strategies. Active and asset allocation strategies are evaluated over a five-year time period, and passive strategies are evaluated over a three-year time period.

Eighty percent of the fund's score is quantitative (made up of eight unique factors), incorporating modern portfolio theory statistics, quadratic optimization analysis, and peer group rankings (among a few of the quantitative factors). The other 20 percent of the score is qualitative, taking into account things such as manager tenure, the fund's expense ratio relative to the average fund expense ratio in that asset class category, and the fund's strength of statistics (statistical significance). Other criteria that may be considered in the qualitative score includes the viability of the firm managing the assets, management or personnel issues at the firm, and/or whether there has been a change in direction of the fund's stated investment strategy. The following pages detail the specific factors for each type of investing strategies.

Combined, these factors are a way of measuring the relative performance, characteristics, behavior and overall appropriateness of a fund for inclusion into a plan as an investment option. General fund guidelines are shown in the “Scorecard Point System” table below. The Scorecard Point System is meant to be used in conjunction with our sample Investment Policy Statement, in order to help identify what strategies need to be discussed as a “watch-list” or removal candidate; what strategies continue to meet some minimum standards and continue to be appropriate; and/or identify new top-ranked strategies for inclusion into a plan.

Scorecard Point System	
Good:	9-10 Points
Acceptable:	7-8 Points
Watch:	5-6 Points
Poor:	0-4 Points

Scorecard System Methodology™

Asset Allocation Strategies

Asset allocation strategies are investment strategies that invest in a broad array of asset classes that may include U.S. equity, international equity, emerging markets, real estate, fixed income, high yield bonds and cash (to name a few asset classes). These strategies are typically structured in either a risk-based format (the strategies are managed to a level of risk, e.g., conservative or aggressive) or, in an age-based format (these strategies are managed to a retirement date or life expectancy date, typically growing more conservative as that date is approached). For this type of investment strategy, the **Scorecard System** is focused on how well these managers can add value from both asset allocation and manager selection.

Multisector Bond (MSB) asset class follows the same evaluation criteria with some slightly different tolerance levels where noted. These managers are also evaluated on both their asset allocation and security selection.

Weightings	Asset Allocation Strategies	Maximum Points
Style Factors 30%	Risk Level: The fund's standard deviation is measured against the category it is being analyzed in. The fund passes if it falls within the range for that category.	1
	Style Diversity: Fund passes if it reflects appropriate style diversity (returns-based) among the four major asset classes (Cash, Fixed Income, U.S. & International Equity) for the given category. <i>MSB</i> funds pass if reflect some level of diversity among fixed income asset classes (Cash, U.S. Fixed Income, Non-U.S. Fixed Income and High Yield/Emerging Markets).	1
	R-Squared: Measures the percentage of a fund's returns that are explained by the benchmark. Fund passes with an R-squared greater than 90 percent. This statistic measures whether the benchmark used in the analysis is appropriate.	1
Risk/Return Factors 30%	Risk/Return: Fund passes if its risk is less than the benchmark or its return is greater than the benchmark. Favorable risk/return characteristics are desired.	1
	Up/Down Capture Analysis: Measures the behavior of a fund in up and down markets. Fund passes with an up capture greater than its down capture. This analysis measures the relative value by the manager in up and down markets.	1
	Information Ratio: Measures a fund's relative risk and return. Fund passes if ratio is greater than 0. This statistic measures the value added above the benchmark, adjusted for risk.	1
Peer Group	Returns Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 50 th percentile.	1

Rankings 20%	Sharpe Ratio Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 50 th percentile. This ranking ranks risk-adjusted excess return.	1
Qualitative Factors 20%	Two points may be awarded based on qualitative characteristics of the fund. Primary considerations are given to manager tenure, fund expenses and strength of statistics, however, other significant factors may be considered. It is important to take into account nonquantitative factors, which may impact future performance.	2
Total		10

Scorecard System Methodology™

Active Strategies

Active strategies are investment strategies where the fund manager is trying to add value and outperform the market averages (for that style of investing). Typically, these investment strategies have higher associated fees due to the active involvement in the portfolio management process by the fund manager(s). For this type of investment strategy, the **Scorecard System** is trying to identify those managers who can add value on a consistent basis within their own style of investing.

Weightings	Active Strategies	Maximum Points
Style Factors 30%	Style Analysis: Returns-based analysis to determine the style characteristics of a fund over a period of time. Fund passes if it reflects the appropriate style characteristics. Style analysis helps ensure proper diversification in the Plan.	1
	Style Drift: Returns-based analysis to determine the behavior of the fund/manager over multiple (rolling) time periods. Fund passes if the fund exhibits a consistent style pattern. Style consistency is desired so that funds can be effectively monitored within their designated asset class.	1
	R-Squared: Measures the percentage of a fund's returns that are explained by the benchmark. Fund passes with an R-squared greater than 80 percent. This statistic measures whether the benchmark used in the analysis is appropriate.	1
Risk/Return Factors 30%	Risk/Return: Fund passes if its risk is less than the benchmark or its return is greater than the benchmark. Favorable risk/return characteristics are desired.	1
	Up/Down Capture Analysis: Measures the behavior of a fund in up and down markets. Fund passes with an up capture greater than its down capture. This analysis measures the relative value by the manager in up and down markets.	1
	Information Ratio: Measures a fund's relative risk and return. Fund passes if ratio is greater than 0. This statistic measures the value added above the benchmark, adjusted for risk.	1
Peer Group	Returns Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 50 th percentile.	1

Rankings 20%	Information Ratio Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 50 th percentile. This ranking ranks risk-adjusted excess return.	1
Qualitative Factors 20%	Two points may be awarded based on qualitative characteristics of the fund. Primary considerations are given to manager tenure, fund expenses and strength of statistics, however, other significant factors may be considered. It is important to take into account nonquantitative factors, which may impact future performance.	2
Total		10

Scorecard System Methodology™

Passive Strategies

Passive strategies are investment strategies where the fund manager is trying to track or replicate some area of the market. These types of strategies may be broad-based in nature (e.g., the fund manager is trying to track/replicate the entire U.S. equity market like the S&P 500) or may be more specific to a particular area of the market (e.g., the fund manager may be trying to track/replicate the technology sector). These investment strategies typically have lower fees than active investment strategies due to their passive nature of investing and are commonly referred to as index funds. For this type of investment strategy, the **Scorecard System** is focused on how well these managers track and/or replicate a particular area of the market with an emphasis on how they compare against their peers.

Weightings	Passive Strategies	Maximum Points
Style & Tracking Factors 40%	Style Analysis: Returns-based analysis to determine the style characteristics of a fund over a period of time. Fund passes if it reflects the appropriate style characteristics. Style analysis helps ensure proper diversification in the Plan.	1
	Style Drift: Returns-based analysis to determine the behavior of the fund/manager over multiple (rolling) time periods. Fund passes if the fund exhibits a consistent style pattern. Style consistency is desired so that funds can be effectively monitored within their designated asset class.	1
	R-Squared: Measures the percentage of a fund's returns that are explained by the benchmark. Fund passes with an R-squared greater than 95 percent. This statistic measures whether the benchmark used in the analysis is appropriate.	1
	Tracking Error: Measures the percentage of a fund's excess return volatility relative to the benchmark. Fund passes with a tracking error less than 4. This statistic measures how well the fund tracks the benchmark.	1
Peer Group Rankings 40%	Tracking Error Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 75 th percentile.	1
	Expense Ratio Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 75 th	1

	percentile.	
	Returns Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 75 th percentile.	1
	Sharpe Ratio Peer Group Ranking: Fund passes if its median rank is above the 75 th percentile.	1
Qualitative Factors 20%	Two points may be awarded based on qualitative characteristics of the fund. Primary considerations are given to fund expenses and strength of statistics, however, other significant factors may be considered. It is important to take into account nonquantitative factors, which may impact future performance.	2
Total		10

Manager Research Methodology

Beyond the Scorecard

The **Scorecard System**™ uses an institutional approach which is comprehensive, independent, and utilizes a process and methodology that strives to create successful outcomes for plan sponsors and participants. The **Scorecard** helps direct the additional research the Investment team conducts with fund managers throughout the year. Three of the primary factors that go into the fund manager research are people, process and philosophy.

PEOPLE	PROCESS	PHILOSOPHY
Key Factors: - Fund manager and team experience - Deep institutional expertise - Organizational structure - Ability to drive the process and	Key Factors: - Clearly defined - Consistent application - Sound and established - Clearly communicated - Successfully	Key Factors: - Research and ideas must be coherent and persuasive - Strong rationale - Logical and compelling - Focus on identifying skillful managers

Scorecard System Disclosures

Investment objectives and strategies vary among fund, and may not be similar for funds included in the same asset class.

All definitions are typical category representations. The specific share classes or accounts identified above may not be available or chosen by the Plan. Share class and account availability is unique to the client's specific circumstances. There

may be multiple share classes or accounts available to the client from which to choose. All recommendations are subject to vendor/provider approval before implementation into the Plan. The performance data quoted may not reflect the deduction of additional fees, if applicable. If reflected, additional fees would reduce the performance quoted.

Performance data is subject to change without prior notice.

Performance of indexes reflects the unmanaged result for the market segment the selected stocks represent. Indexes are unmanaged and not available for direct investment.

The information used in the analysis has been taken from sources deemed to be reliable, including, third-party providers such as *Markov Processes International*, *Morningstar*, firms who manage the investments, and/or the retirement plan providers who offer the funds.

Every reasonable effort has been made to ensure completeness and accuracy; however, the final accuracy of the numbers and information is the responsibility of the investment manager(s) of each fund and/or the retirement plan providers offering these funds. Discrepancies between the figures reported in this analysis, and those reported by the actual investment managers and/or retirement plan providers, may be caused by a variety of factors, including: Inaccurate reporting by the manager/provider; Changes in reporting by the manager/provider from the time this report was prepared to a subsequent retro-active audit and corrected reporting; Differences in fees and share-classes impacting net investment return; and, Scriveners error by your advisor in preparing this report.

The enclosed Investment Due Diligence report, including the **Scorecard System**, is intended for plan sponsor and/or institutional use only. The materials are not intended for participant use.

The purpose of this report is to assist fiduciaries in selecting and monitoring investment options. A fund's score is meant to be used by the Plan sponsor and/or fiduciaries as a tool for selecting the most appropriate fund.

Fund scores will change as the performance of the funds change and as certain factors measured in the qualitative category change (e.g., manager tenure). Fund scores are not expected to change dramatically from each measured period, however, there is no guarantee this will be the case. Scores will change depending on the changes in the underlying pre-specified Scorecard™ factors.

Neither past performance nor statistics calculated using past performance are guarantees of a fund's future performance. Likewise, a fund's score using the **Scorecard System™** does not guarantee the future performance or style consistency of a fund.

This report was prepared with the belief that this information is relevant to the Plan sponsor as the Plan sponsor makes investment selections.

Fund selection is at the discretion of the investment fiduciaries, which are either the Plan sponsor or the Committee appointed to perform that function.

Cash Equivalents (e.g., money market fund) and some specialty funds are not scored by the **Scorecard System**.

The enclosed Investment Due Diligence report and Scorecard™ is not an offer to sell mutual funds. An offer to sell may be made only after the client has received and read the appropriate prospectus.

For the most current month-end performance, please contact your advisor.

The Strategy Review notes section is for informational purposes only. The views expressed here are those of your advisor and do not constitute an offer to sell an investment. An offer to sell may be made only after the client has received and read the appropriate prospectus.

Carefully consider the investment objectives, risk factors and charges and expenses of the investment company before investing. This and other information can be found in the fund's prospectus, which may be obtained by contacting your Investment Advisor/Consultant or Vendor/Provider. Read the prospectus carefully before investing.

For a copy of the most recent prospectus, please contact your Investment Advisor/Consultant or Vendor/Provider.

ACR#201700 08/16

